

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,704	08/25/2003	Kenichiro Nakamura	0505-1227P	9815
2292	7590 02/28/2005		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH &	DONOVAN, LINCOLN D		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	BOX 747 LLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,704	NAKAMURA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Lincoln Donovan	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED THE MAILING I - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received I	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 HS from the mailing date of this communication. y specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rely y is specified above, the maximum statutory position in the set or extended period for reply will, by statu by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ This actio 3)□ Since this	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <a href="mailto:17">17 November 2004</a> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Clai	ims .					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) _ 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers	5					
10) The drawing Applicant in Replacement	ication is objected to by the Examinag(s) filed on is/are: a) acmay not request that any objection to the ent drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U	I.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/646,704

Art Unit: 2832

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ooki et al. [US 2002/0121894] in view of Nakamura et al. [US 2001/0026153].

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 7, Ooki et al. disclose an angular position detection device [figure 1] comprising:

- at least one pair of opposing magnets [4, 5] with opposing pole faces disposed in spaced relation and defining a line of geometric and magnetic symmetry therebetween; and
- at least one Hall type magnetic flux sensor [7, 8] having a magnetic field sensing face disposed between the magnets.

Ooki et al. disclose everything claimed except the magnetic flux sensor being spaced at an offset distance away from the line of symmetry such that the sensing face does not intersect the line of symmetry.

Nakamura et al. discloses an angular position sensor device having a pair of magnets [1a(1), 1b(1)] with a magnetic sensing element [4] disposed at an offset distance away from the line of symmetry of the magnets such that the sensing face of the sensing element does not intersect the line of symmetry.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the offset sensor design of Nakamura et al. for the sensor(s) of Ooki et al., in order to provide linear output characteristics over a range greater than 90°.

Regarding claims 3-4, Ooki et al., as modified, disclose everything claimed except the specific shape and size of the magnets. The specific size and configuration of the magnets would have been an obvious design consideration based on the necessary intensity and operating environment.

Regarding claim 5, Nakamura et al. further discloses the magnets being mounted for rotation about the sensor [paragraph 24].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rotationally mount the magnets about the sensor of Ooki et al., as suggested by Nakamura et al., in order to prevent wear on the sensor connections.

Regarding claims 6 and 11, Nakamura et al. further disclose the position detection device being used for a throttle control [paragraph 24].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use angular sensor design of Ooki et al., as modified, in a vehicle, as suggested by Nakamura et al., in order to provide linear response.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11-17-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,704

Art Unit: 2832

Applicant argues that the line of symmetry A of Nakamura intersects the magnetic detection element. Examiner disagress. Nakamura teaches the intensity distribution of the magnetic field is asymmetric with respect to the center of rotation of the rotor. This shows that the sensor is spaced such that it is not in the line of symmetry as claimed.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,704

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd